



PLAN FOR PLANNING COMMITTEE (P4P)

Minutes of Work Meeting

November 30, 2010 - 8:00 am at Teton County Courthouse

In attendance: Shawn Hill, Dahvi Wilson, Aaron Driggs, Jeff Potter

Staff: Angie Rutherford (recorder), Teton County Planner

Also in attendance: Richard Grundler, Anna Trentatdue (VARD)

Discussion and Action: Shawn Hill, Chairman of the P4P Committee, opened the meeting.

Outreach Meetings: Mr. Cooke has arranged that family visits include a message to take the Teton Valley 2020 survey. Mr. Driggs reported that the Tea Party meeting went well and members seemed genuinely concerned about getting involved. He also noted there were concerns from Tea Party members about spending money on an outside consulting firm. Mr. Hill commented that there seemed to be good buy in from all sides so far. Ms. Rutherford reported that 488 people (over 6% if assuming an 8,000 population) have taken the online survey.

RFP: There was discussion about the “local firm” vs. “familiarity with Teton County” criteria for the score card. It was determined that the local firm section was to encourage the consulting money to go to a local firm while the familiarity criterion was to ensure the consultant understands Teton County and is familiar with the local issues. There was the addition of an “overall suitability” section to cover less objective criterion such as vibe, fit and attitude. This would be a section to include concerns. It was determined that the categories, but not the scoring criteria or weights, should be distributed with the RFP.

Mr. Potter suggested we form categories. For example: wildlife, outdoor recreation, access to public lands, scenic views and night skies could be lumped together into a category called “natural resource management”. This could be a “topic” of Experience for the RFP and also a subcommittee. A summary of community input results would also be distributed with the RFP. The public input will drive the RFP and also the committee structure.

There was discussion about how the P4P would be involved in the interview/selection process. Ms. Rutherford will bring it to the Board for input.

Committee Structure: The P4P will need to determine more details of the committee structure. The committee will need to group stakeholders into groups to determine representation on the core committee/subcommittees. The subcommittees content area will be based on values identified in the public outreach campaign and will include technical advisors in addition to “public”.

The consultant will take the legal requirements of the comp plan and interweave those into the subcommittee work and community input.

Next Meeting: Ms. Rutherford will send out a Doodle Poll to schedule the next meeting. It is anticipated that it will take about three hours and will include analyzing the community input and finalizing the topics of the RFP and subcommittees.

Respectfully submitted,
Angie Rutherford